### Roadsides as Habitat for Pollinators:

# Frequently Asked Questions



### How can roadsides be useful to pollinators?

Roadsides provide several ecological functions for pollinators, including serving as foraging habitat, providing breeding or nesting opportunities, and helping pollinators to move through landscapes by linking fragmented habitats. Not all roadsides are equally beneficial to pollinators. Roadsides with abundant wildflowers, predominately native plants, and managed by judicious mowing, herbicides, or other management tools provide the best roadside habitat. Although they are not a substitute for wildland habitat, as patches of refuge for pollinators in otherwise inhospitable landscapes, roadsides can contribute to the maintenance of healthy ecosystems and the provision of ecological services such as crop pollination.

(Sources: Dirig and Cryan 1991; Munguira and Thomas 1992; Ries et al. 2001; Saarinen et al. 2005; Hopwood 2008; Schaffers et al. 2012.)



### Why use native plants, if they are more expensive than nonnative species?

There are many advantages of using native plants to stabilize roadsides. Native grasses and flowers are best adapted to local growing conditions, require minimal inputs for establishment, and are able to tolerate extreme weather events such as drought. The root systems of native plants can increase water infiltration, which reduces runoff and water pollution and keeps our waters cleaner. A diverse native plant community can reduce soil erosion and resist weed invasions, which can reduce maintenance costs. Although native plants may cost more upfront, they can provide cost savings over time. Native plants can be aesthetically pleas-



#### For more information

Literature review of pollinators in rights-of-way:

http://www.xerces.org/ pollinator-conservationroadsides/

Jennifer Hopwood jennifer@xerces.org (913) 579-5241 ing during the growing season while also acting as snow fences in the winter, trapping and preventing snow from blowing across roads. Native plant communities also support more birds, pollinators, and other wildlife. The use of native plants in roadsides can provide ecological benefits to the surrounding landscape.

(Sources: Cramer 1991; Bugg et al. 1997; Harper-Lore and Wilson 2000; Johnson 2000; Ries et al. 2001; Quales 2003; Blumenthal et al. 2005; Tilman et al. 2006; Hopwood 2008; Tallamy and Shropshire 2009; Williams et al. 2011; Harrison 2014; Harper-Lore et al. 2014.)

## Will any of the wildflowers planted on roadsides spread to my property and become weeds?

Native plants in roadsides are less likely than many nonnative plants to become weed issues and encroach on adjacent land.

(Source: Harper-Lore and Wilson 2000.)

# Are milkweeds present in the roadside a threat to livestock on adjacent land?



Pollinator habitat next to speeding trucks does not significantly increase mortality of butterflies and bees. Photograph by Maria Urice, Living Roadway Trust Fund.

Milkweeds present in roadsides are unlikely to be a threat to livestock on adjacent property. Very few milkweeds will spread from their planting site. If milkweeds are present in pastures or rangelands, most livestock take great care to avoid them. Although milkweeds are toxic, they are highly unpalatable to livestock. Milkweed poisoning typically only occurs when livestock are confined to a barren paddock with no alternate food sources.

(Sources: Fulton 1972; Pfister et al. 2002; Borders and Lee-Mäder 2014.)

### Are collisions with vehicles a major source of mortality for pollinators?

Hundreds of thousands of pollinators are killed by vehicles on roads, but, research suggests that the numbers are a small proportion of overall populations. Mortality rates of butterflies on roads, for example, range from 0.6% to a maximum of 10% of the population, depending on the species. Road mortality can be higher for some species of pollinators than others. For example, butterflies appear to be one of the more common groups of insects killed by cars. Some butterflies that are strong fliers have the ability to dodge vehicles, while other less adroit species are more susceptible.

(Sources: Munguira and Thomas 1992; McKenna et al. 2001; Ries et al. 2001; Rao and Girish 2007; Zielin et al. 2010; Skórka et al. 2013; Munoz et al. 2015.)

### If the amount of wildflowers on roadsides increases, will the number of pollinators killed on roads increase too?

Although pollinators and other wildlife are going to be killed by vehicles as long as we have roads, there are ways to

reduce pollinator road mortality. Reducing roadside mowing can reduce butterfly mortality, as can enhancing the diversity and abundance of wildflowers on roadsides. Current research suggests that, rather than luring pollinators to death-by-vehicle, roadsides with high quality habitat actually reduce pollinator mortality.

(Sources: Munguira and Thomas 1992; Ries et al. 2001; Skórka et al. 2013.)

### If roadsides are mown less frequently, will this impact driver safety, especially collisions with deer?

Frequency of mowing of the entire roadside doesn't appear to influence rates of deer-vehicle crashes. In fact, deer may actually prefer some roadsides that are mowed more frequently because mowing can increase the palatability of some plants. A strip of vegetation adjacent to the pavement that is mown regularly, while letting the rest of the roadside grow to a reasonable height, can help to maintain visibility of drivers and prevent deer-vehicle crashes.

(Sources: Mastro et al. 2008; Barnum and Alt 2013; Guyton et al. 2014.)



Wildflowers growing on roadsides, such as in this prairie planting in lowa, are unlikely to spread into adjacent property—and the mowed strip adjacent to the pavement improves vehicle visibility and safety. Photograph by Maria Urice, Living Roadway Trust Fund.

#### References

Barnum, S. A. and G. Alt. 2013. "The effect of reduced roadside mowing on rate of deer-vehicle collisions." Transportation Research Board 92nd Annual Meeting Compendium of Papers. Available at: http://docs.trb.org/prp/13-5041.pdf. Accessed October 2015. Borders, B., and E. Lee-Mäder. 2014. *Milkweeds: A Con-*

servation Practitioner's Guide. 146 pp. Portland, OR: The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation. Bugg, R. L., C. S. Brown, and J. H. Anderson. 1997. Restoring native perennial grasses to rural roadsides in the Sacramento Valley of California: Establishment and evaluation. Restoration Ecology 5:214–228.

- Cramer, C. 1991. Tougher than weeds: native prairie plants, better management trim roadside spraying 90%. *The New Farm* 13:37–39.
- Dirig, R., and J. F. Cryan 1991. The status of silvery blue subspecies (*Glaucopsyche lygdamus lygdamus* and *G. l. couperi*: Lycaenidae) in New York. *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society* 45(4):272–290.
- Fulton, D. H., ed. 1972. Poisonous Plant Groups. Technical Note: Range No. 1. USDA SCS: Boise, ID.
- Guyton, J., J. C. Jones, and E. Entsminger. 2014. "Alternative Mowing Regimes' Influence on Native Plants and Deer." Report No. FHWA/MDOT-RD-14-228
- Harper-Lore, B., and M. Wilson, eds. 2000. *Roadside Use of Native Plants*. Island Press, Washington, DC, 665 pp.
- Harper-Lore, B., M. Johnson, and W. F. Ostrum. 2014. Vegetation Management: An Ecoregional Approach. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration.
- Harrison, G. L. 2014. "Economic Impact of Ecosystem Service Provided by Ecologically Sustainable Roadside Right of Way Vegetation Management Practices." Florida Department of Transportation Contract Number BDK75-977-74. Available: http://www.dot.state.fl.us/research-center/Completed\_Proj/Summary\_EMO/FDOT-BDK75-977-74-rpt.pdf. Accessed September 2014.
- Hopwood, J. L. 2008. The contribution of roadside grassland restorations to native bee conservation. *Biological Conservation* 141:2632–2640.
- Johnson, A. M. 2000. Best Practices Handbook on Roadside Vegetation Management. Technical Report N. Mn/DOT 2000-19.
- McKenna, D. D., K. M. McKenna, S. B. Malcom, and M. R. Berenbaum. 2001. Mortality of Lepidoptera along roadways in central Illinois. *Journal of the Lepidopterists Society* 55(2):63–68.
- Mastro, L. L., M. R. Conover, and S. N. Frey. 2008. Deervehicle collision prevention techniques. *Human-Wildlife Conflicts* 2(1):80–92.
- Munguira, M. L., and J. A. Thomas. 1992. Use of road verges by butterfly and burnet populations, and the effect of roads on adult dispersal and mortality. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 29:316–329.
- Munoz, P. T., F. P. Torres, and A. G. Megias. 2015. Ef-

- fects of roads on insects: a review. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 24(3):659–682.
- Pfister, J. A., F. D. Provenza, K. E. Panter, B. L. Stegelmeier, and K. L. Launchbaugh. 2002. Risk management to reduce livestock losses from toxic plants. *Journal of Range Management* 55:291–300.
- Quales, W. 2003. Native plants and integrated roadside vegetation management. *IPM Practitioner* 25(3-4):1–9
- Rao, R. S. P., and M. K. S. Girish. 2007. Road kills: Assessing insect casualties using flagship taxon. *Current Science* 92(6):830–843.
- Ries, L., D. M. Debinski, and M. L. Wieland. 2001. Conservation value of roadside prairie restoration to butterfly communities. *Conservation Biology* 15:401–411.
- Saarinen, K., A. Valtonen, J. Jantunen, and S. Saarnio. 2005. Butterflies and diurnal moths along road verges: Does road type affect diversity and abundance? *Biological Conservation* 123:403–412.
- Schaffers, A. P., I. P. Raemakers, and K. V. Sykora. 2012. Successful overwintering of arthropods in roadside verges. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 16(4):511–522.
- Skórka, P., M. Lenda, D. Moroń, K. Kalarus, and P. Tryjanowski. 2013. Factors affecting road mortality and the suitability of road verges for butterflies. *Biological Conservation* 159:148–157.
- Tallamy, D. W., and K. J. Shropshire. 2009. Ranking lepidopteran use of native versus introduced plants. *Conservation Biology* 23(4):941–947.
- Tilman, D., P. B. Reich, and J. M. H. Knops. 2006. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability in a decade-long grassland experiment. *Nature* 441:629–632.
- Williams, N. M., D. Cariveau, R. Winfree, and C. Kremen. 2011. Bees in disturbed habitats use, but do not prefer, alien plants. Basic and Applied Ecology 12(4):332–341.
- Zielin, S., C. E. de Rivera, S. Jacobson, and W. P. Smith. 2010. "Exploring mitigation options to reduce vehicle-caused mortality of a threatened butterfly." Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting. Available: http://itre.ncsu.edu/ADC30/11\_TRB\_ Winter\_Conference/Presentations/Paper\_11-2834. pdf. Accessed October 2014.